arXiv:1408.2204v1 [hep-ph] 10 Aug 2014

Geometrical scaling in charm structure function ratios

G.R.Boroun* and B.Rezaei†

Physics Department, Razi University, Kermanshah 67149, Iran

(Dated: August 12, 2014)

By using a Laplace-transform technique, we solve the next-to-leading-order master equation for charm production and derive a compact formula for the ratio $R^c = \frac{F_L^{c\overline{c}}}{F_2^{c\overline{c}}}$, which is useful for extracting the charm structure function from the reduced charm cross section, in particular, at DESY HERA, at small x. Our results show that this ratio is independent of x at small x. In this method of determining the ratios, we apply geometrical scaling in charm production in deep inelastic scattering(DIS). Our analysis shows that the renormalization scales have a sizable impact on the ratio R^c at high Q^2. Our results for the ratio of the charm structure functions are in good agreement with some phenomenological models.

PACS: *12.38.Bx; 13.60.Hb*

Keywords: Ratio of the charm structure functions, Laplace method, Geometric scaling, Low-x.

1. Introduction

The *ep* collider at HERA has played a crucial role in furthering the understanding of the proton's structure. In the case of pure photon exchange, the totally inclusive cross section of deep-inelastic lepton-proton scattering (DIS) has the form

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dxdQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2 Y_+}{Q^4 x}.\sigma_r, \tag{1}$$

where the reduced cross section can be defined by the structure functions $F_2(x,Q^2)$ and $F_L(x,Q^2)$ as

$$\sigma_r \equiv F_2(x,Q^2) - \frac{y^2}{Y_+}.F_L(x,Q^2), \tag{2}$$

where $Y_+ = 1+(1-y)^2$. The structure functions F_2 and F_L are related to the cross sections σ_T and σ_L for the interaction of transversely and longitudinally polarized virtual photons with protons [1]. The quark parton model (QPM) predicts $\sigma_L = 0$, which leads to the so-called Callan-Gross relation $F_L = 0$, where it is further broken by QCD corrections. Thus, in QCD, the longitudinal structure function F_L becomes non-negligible, and its contribution should be properly taken into account when F_2 is extracted from the measured cross section. However, the contribution of the longitudinal structure function F_L to the cross section is sizeable only at large values of the inelasticity y, and in most of the kinematic range, the relation $\sigma_r \approx F_2$ holds to a very good approximation. The same is true for the contributions $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ and $F_L^{c\overline{c}}$ to F_2 and F_L due to the charm quarks.

Therefore, precise measurements of the charm-inclusive scattering cross section at the *ep* collider are important for the understanding of charmed meson production. The charmed meson production in deeply inelastic *ep* scattering, in the one-photon-exchange approximation, is via the reaction

$$e^- + p \to e^- + c\overline{c} + X. \tag{3}$$

The reduced cross section is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_r^{c\overline{c}} &= \frac{Q^4 x}{2\pi\alpha^2 Y_+}\frac{d^2\sigma^{c\overline{c}}}{dxdy} \\ &= F_2^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2,m^2) - \frac{y^2}{Y_+}F_L^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2,m^2) \\ &= F_2^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2,m^2)(1-\frac{y^2}{Y_+}R^c).\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

A measurement of the longitudinal charm structure function at small x at HERA is important because the $F_L^{c\overline{c}}$ contribution to the charm cross section can be sizeable. At small values of x, $F_L^{c\overline{c}}$ becomes non-negligible, and its contribution should be properly taken into account when $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ is extracted from the measured charm cross section.

In perturbative QCD (pQCD) calculations, the production of heavy quarks at HERA proceeds dominantly via direct boson-gluon fusion (BGF), where the photon interacts with a gluon from the proton through the exchange of a heavy quark pair [2]. In recent years, both the H1 and ZEUS collaborations have measured the charm component $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ of the structure function at small x and have found it to be approximately ∼30% of the total at HERA [3].

For the treatment of the charm component of the structure function, there are basically two different prescriptions for charm production in the literature. The first is advocated in [4], where the charm quark is treated as a heavy quark, and its contribution is given by fixed-order perturbation theory. This involves the computation of the boson-gluon fusion process. In the other approach [5], the charm is treated similarly to a

*Electronic address: grboroun@gmail.com; boroun@razi.ac.ir
†brezaei@razi.ac.ir

massless quark, and its contribution is described by a parton density in a hadron. Here, we consider the charm production via boson-gluon fusion, where the charm is treated as a heavy quark and not a parton. This scheme is usually called the fixed flavor number scheme (FFNS). In this scheme, by definition, only light partons (e.g. u, d, s and g) are included in the initial state for charm production and the number of parton flavors n_f is kept constant regardless of the energy scales involved. The boson-gluon fusion gives the correct description of F_2^c for $Q^2 < 4m_c^2$ and should remain a reasonable approximation to F_2^c for $Q^2 \geq 4m_c^2$. However, the boson-gluon fusion model will inevitably break down at larger Q^2 values because the charm can no longer be treated as a non-partonic heavy object, and begins to evolve in a similar manner to lighter components of the quark sea. Therefore, our estimates in this scheme should be considered with caution in the region of large Q^2. A $c\overline{c}$ pair can be created by boson-gluon fusion when the squared invariant mass of the hadronic final state is in the region $W^2 \geq 4m_c^2$. Because $W^2 = Q^2(1-x)x + M_N^2$, where M_N is the nucleon mass, charm production can occur well below the Q^2 threshold, $Q^2 \approx 4m_c^2$, at small x [6].

In this paper, we investigate the NLO corrections to the Callan-Gross ratio in heavy-quark leptoproduction, defined as $R^c = \frac{F_L^c}{F_2^c}$, which is an observable that is quantitatively well defined in perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). Measurements of the quantity $R(x, Q^2)$ in charm and bottom leptoproduction should provide a good test of the conventional parton model based on pQCD. The leptoproduction cross sections $\sigma_k^{c\overline{c}}(x, Q^2)$ are related to the structure functions $F_k^{c\overline{c}}(x, Q^2)$, as follows:

$$F_k^{c\overline{c}}(x, Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{8\pi^2 \alpha_{em} x} \sigma_k^{c\overline{c}}(x, Q^2) \qquad (k = T, L), \quad (5)$$

$$F_2^{c\overline{c}}(x, Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em}} \sigma_2^{c\overline{c}}(x, Q^2). \quad (6)$$

These hadron-level cross sections are related to the $\gamma^* g$ cross sections through the photon-gluon fusion mechanism [7-9], and it is related to the virtual photon- proton cross section $\sigma_{\gamma^* p}$. Data from deep inelastic scattering (DIS) experiments at small x exhibit an interesting property called geometric scaling [10]. This means that the total $\gamma^* p$ cross section is not a function of the two variables x and Q^2 separately but rather a function of the combination $Q^2/Q_s^2(x)$ only, where the "saturation scale" Q_s is defined such that saturation is expected to occur at Q values below Q_s [11-12], as

$$\sigma_{\gamma^* p}(x, Q^2) = \sigma_{\gamma^* p}(\tau), \quad (7)$$

where $\tau = \frac{Q^2}{Q_s^2}$ is called a scaling variable [13]. This scaling is a border between dense and dilute gluonic systems. The saturation scale is customarily assumed to have a power-like dependence on x, as $Q_s^2(x) = Q_0^2(x/x_0)^{-\lambda}$, where λ is a parameter that must be determined from experimental data. In charm production, geometrical scaling is expected to be violated due to the large quark mass $m_c \simeq 1.3 GeV$. Therefore, the scaling variable for charm production can be obtained by[13]

$$\tau_c = (1 + \frac{4m_c^2}{Q^2})^{1+\lambda} \frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2} (\frac{x}{x_0})^{\lambda}. \quad (8)$$

In this paper, we apply the quantity of geometrical scaling in the ratio of the charm structure functions, R^c, for the NLO analysis. Here, we extend the method proposed by the authors of Refs.[14-17] by using a Laplace-transform technique for the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution equations [18]. We derive a solution for the ratio of the charm structure functions using the Laplace transform method for the geometrical scaling at small x. However, our analysis shows that the predictions for R^c describe with good accuracy the small x predictions for NLO, and this analysis is directly independent of the gluon distribution function. The structure of this article is as follows. In Sect.2, we briefly present the basic formalism for the charm structure function at LO up to NLO. In Sect.3, we use a Laplace-transform technique and predict the ratio of the charm structure functions at small x. Next, we use the geometrical scaling in the ratio of the charm structure functions. These results are discussed in Sect.4.

2. Short theoretical input

The charm quark contributions $F_k^c(x, Q^2, m_c^2)(k = 2, L)$ to the proton structure function at small x, where the gluon contribution is only matter, are given by the following forms:

$$F_k^c(x, Q^2, m_c^2) = 2x e_c^2 \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi} \int_{ax}^1 \frac{dy}{y^2} C_{g,k}^c(\frac{x}{y}, \zeta) \times G(y, \mu^2), \quad (9)$$

where $a = 1 + 4\zeta (\zeta \equiv \frac{m_c^2}{Q^2})$, $G(x, \mu^2)$ is the gluon distribution function and μ is the mass factorization scale, which has been set equal to the renormalization scales $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2$ or $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$. The $C_{g,k}^c$ are the charm coefficient functions in the LO and NLO analysis as follows:

$$C_{k,g}(z, \zeta) \rightarrow C_{k,g}^0(z, \zeta) + a_s(\mu^2)[C_{k,g}^1(z, \zeta) + \overline{C}_{k,g}^1(z, \zeta) ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_c^2}], \quad (10)$$

where $a_s(\mu^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{4\pi}$. The coefficient functions, in the

LO analysis, can be determined [19] as follows:

$$C^0_{g,2}(z,\zeta) = \frac{1}{2}([z^2+(1-z)^2+4z\zeta(1-3z)-8\zeta^2z^2] \times ln\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}+\beta[-1+8z(1-z) -4z\zeta(1-z)]), \quad (11)$$

and

$$C^0_{g,L}(z,\zeta) = -4z^2\zeta ln\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}+2\beta z(1-z), \quad (12)$$

where $\beta^2 = 1-\frac{4z\zeta}{1-z}$. In the NLO analysis, we can use the compact form of these coefficients based on Refs.[8,20].

3. Method

We now derive the ratio of the charm structure functions using a Laplace-transform method that was used by BDHM [14-17]. The coordinate transformation in this method is

$$\upsilon \equiv \ln(1/x). \quad (13)$$

The functions $\hat{G}$, $C^{\hat{c}}_{g,k}$, and $F\hat{F}^c_k$ in υ-space are then defined by

$$\hat{G}(\upsilon,Q^2) \equiv \hat{G}(e^{-\upsilon},Q^2) \quad (14)$$

$$C^{\hat{c}}_{g,k}(\upsilon) \equiv C^{\hat{c}}_{g,k}(e^{-\upsilon}) \quad (15)$$

$$F\hat{F}^c_k(\upsilon,Q^2) \equiv (2\frac{\alpha_s^{LO}(\mu^2)}{2\pi}e_c^2)^{-1}F^c_k. \quad (16)$$

In this representation, Eq.(9) reduces to this form

$$F\hat{F}^c_k(\frac{1}{a}\upsilon',Q^2) = \int_0^{\upsilon'}\hat{G}(\omega,Q^2) \frac{1}{a}e^{-(\upsilon'-\omega)}C^0_{g,k}(\frac{1}{a}e^{-(\upsilon'-\omega)})dw, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\hat{H}_k(\upsilon') \equiv \frac{1}{a}e^{-\upsilon'}C^0_{g,k}(\frac{1}{a}e^{-(\upsilon')}). \quad (18)$$

We introduce the notation that the Laplace transformation of a function $\hat{H}_k(\upsilon')$ is given by $h_k(s)$, where

$$h_k(s) \equiv \mathcal{L}[\hat{H}_k(\upsilon');s] = \int_0^\infty \hat{H}_k(\upsilon')e^{-s\upsilon'}d\upsilon'. \quad (19)$$

We then reduce Eq.(17) to the form

$$FF^c_k(s,Q^2) = \mathcal{L}[\int_0^{\upsilon'}\hat{G}(\omega,Q^2)\hat{H}_k(\upsilon'-\omega);s] = g(s,Q^2)h_k(s). \quad (20)$$

Let us introduce the ratio of the charm structure functions, which is independent of the gluon distribution function in s space, as

$$\frac{F^c_L(s)}{F^c_2(s)} = \frac{h^c_L(s)}{h^c_2(s)}. \quad (21)$$

To determine a solution for this ratio, we use the following property of the inverse Laplace transformation:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F(s)G(s)] = \int_0^t f(t-\tau)g(\tau)d\tau = \int_0^t g(t-\tau)f(\tau)d\tau. \quad (22)$$

We then have

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[F^c_L(s)h^c_2(s);\upsilon] = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[F^c_2(s)h^c_L(s);\upsilon] \quad (23)$$

or

$$\int_0^{\upsilon'}F^c_L(w,Q^2)\widehat{J}_2(\upsilon'-w)dw = \int_0^{\upsilon'}F^c_2(w,Q^2)\widehat{J}_L(\upsilon'-w)dw, \quad (24)$$

where $\widehat{J}_k(\upsilon') = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[h^c_k(s);\upsilon'], (k=2,L)$. Based on the ratio of the charm structure functions, $R^c(x,Q^2) = \frac{F^c_L}{F^c_2}$, one obtains

$$\int_0^{\upsilon'}R^c(w,Q^2)F^c_2(w,Q^2)\widehat{J}_2(\upsilon'-w)dw = \int_0^{\upsilon'}F^c_2(w,Q^2)\widehat{J}_L(\upsilon'-w)dw. \quad (25)$$

The ratio R^c was previously studied in the framework of the k_t-factorization approach and determined to be approximately x independent in the small x region. Indeed, it has been found that at small x and small Q^2 (where approaches based on perturbative QCD, and on k_t factorization give similar predictions [8]) ratio R^c is quite flat. On the other hand at small x and high Q^2, R^c rises in the framework of perturbative QCD. This can be due to the small-x re-summation, which is important at high Q^2 [7-9].

Assuming the small-x ($x\leq 0.01$) behavior of the ratio to be $R^c(x\to 0,Q^2)\equiv R^c(Q^2)$, we consider compact formulae for this ratio of the charm structure functions in this region of x values. Based on the assumption, we transformed to υ-space, where it lies in the interval $\eta < \upsilon < \upsilon'$ (where η satisfies the boundary condition for $x\leq 0.01$). Therefore,

$$R^c(Q^2) = \frac{\int_\eta^{\upsilon'}F^c_2(w,Q^2)\widehat{J}_L(\upsilon'-w)dw}{\int_\eta^{\upsilon'}F^c_2(w,Q^2)\widehat{J}_2(\upsilon'-w)dw}. \quad (26)$$

The integrations in Eq. (26) are then taken to run from $y = ax$ to $y = 0.01$ rather than from $y = ax$ to $y = 1$. Finally, we have the ratio of the charm structure functions in x-space as follows:

$$R^c(Q^2) = \frac{\int_{ax}^{0.01} \frac{x}{y} F_2^c(y,Q^2) C_{g,L}^c(\frac{x}{y},\zeta)\frac{dy}{y}}{\int_{ax}^{0.01} \frac{x}{y} F_2^c(y,Q^2) C_{g,2}^c(\frac{x}{y},\zeta)\frac{dy}{y}}. \quad (27)$$

This result is in the framework of the k_t- factorization approach at small x. Based on Eq. (6), the charm structure function $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ is related to the photon-proton cross section for charm production by the simple relation $\sigma_2^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2) = 4\pi^2\alpha_{em}F_2^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2)/Q^2$. We know that the geometrical scaling hypothesis for charm production means that

$$\sigma_2^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2) = \frac{1}{Q_0^2} f(\tau_c), \quad (28)$$

where f is a universal, dimensionless function of the scaling variable [13]. The constant Q_0^2 sets the dimension, and it can be extracted from the data. To determine this function, one should know the cross section $\sigma_{dp}(u)$ describing the interaction of the $q\overline{q}$ color dipole with the proton and doing the respective integration for the $\sigma_{\gamma^* p}$. Because σ_{dp} is not calculable, some models [13], such as $1-\exp(-u^2)$, are used, where those values in this model are compared with the experimental data. In contrast, there exist some parameterizations of $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ whereby the function f can be calculated by dividing the parameterization $F_2^{c\overline{c}}$ by Q^2 [12].

Therefore, the charm structure function is scaled by the geometrical scale as

$$F_2^{c\overline{c}}(x,Q^2) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2\alpha_{em}}\frac{Q^2}{Q_0^2} f(\tau_c). \quad (29)$$

Finally, the ratio of the charm structure functions can be obtained by the following form:

$$R^c(Q^2) = \frac{\int_{ax}^{0.01} \frac{x}{y} f(\tau_c) C_{g,L}^c(\frac{x}{y},\zeta)\frac{dy}{y}}{\int_{ax}^{0.01} \frac{x}{y} f(\tau_c) C_{g,2}^c(\frac{x}{y},\zeta)\frac{dy}{y}}. \quad (30)$$

4. Results and Conclusion

In this section, we intend to use Eq. (30) to extract the ratio of the charm structure functions at small x at NLO. The parameters (σ_0, x_0) were obtained from a fit to the HERA data [21-22]. We take $\lambda_c = 0.558 \pm 0.038$, which is an optimal value of the parameter λ in charm production for $m_c = 1.3\ GeV$ (as accompanied with statistical error)[13]. We set the running coupling constant to $\Lambda = 0.224\ GeV$, and the theoretical uncertainties in our result are based on the renormalization scales $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2$ and $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$.

In Fig. 1, we present our results for the ratio $R^c = F_L^c/F_2^c$ in charm leptoproduction at NLO. We observe that this ratio is independent of x for $x \leq 0.01$ in a wide range of Q^2. We see that this value is approximately between 0.14 and 0.18 in a region of Q^2, and this prediction for R^c is nearly equal to the results in Refs.[7-9]. While the NLO result for R^c is independent of x at small x, we can make use of Eq.(26) and impose the geometric scaling condition in charm production based on Eq.(30). The solution of this equation is general, and the only observation that we can make is that it should generate τ_c behavior with respect to λ_c.

In Fig. 2, we present the ratio R^c as a function of Q^2 at $x \leq 0.01$ from Eq.(30) with respect to $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2$ and $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$ for the geometrical scaling in charm production. We can see that the behavior of this ratio is in good agreement with the prediction from Refs.[7-9] because both have a maximum value between $Q^2 = 10$ and $100\ GeV^2$ and then fall as Q^2 increases. These results are in agreement with the k_t- factorization approach [23] only at small Q^2, that is, it continues to rise as Q^2 increases. At high Q^2 values, our results are dependent on the renormalization scales. In Fig. 3, we compare our results at the renormalization scale $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$ with the results in Ref.[7] (N.Ya.Ivanov and B.A.Kinehl, Eur.Phys.J.C**59**, 647(2009)) for the ratio R^c because the authors derived an analytical small-x formula with arbitrary values of δ in terms of the Gauss hypergeometric function. The value of δ is based on the gluon behavior. The prediction has the following form [7]:

$$R^{(\delta)}(Q^2) = 4\frac{\frac{2+\delta}{3+\delta}\Phi(1+\delta,\frac{1}{1+4\zeta}) - (1+4\zeta)\Phi(2+\delta,\frac{1}{1+4\zeta})}{[1+\frac{\delta(1-\delta^2)}{(2+\delta)(3+\delta)}]\Phi(\delta,\frac{1}{1+4\zeta}) - (1+4\zeta)(4-\delta-\frac{10}{3+\delta})\Phi(1+\delta,\frac{1}{1+4\zeta})}, \quad (31)$$

where the function $\Phi(r,z)$ is defined as

$$\Phi(r,z) = \frac{z^{1+r}}{1+r}\frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma(1+r)}{\Gamma(3/2+r)}{}_2F_1(\frac{1}{2},1+r;\frac{3}{2}+r;z), \quad (32)$$

and the hypergeometric function ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z)$ has the following series expansion:

$${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) = \frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(b)}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma(a+n)\Gamma(b+n)}{\Gamma(c+n)}\frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (33)$$

For $x < 0.01$, our results are compatible with the results of Ref.[7] because $\delta \to 0$, and when x=0.01, our results are compatible with the case where $\delta \to \frac{1}{2}$. We observe that our results are general and converge to other results over the entire Q^2 range [7-9,23-25]. In Figs.2 and 3, the Q^2 dependence of the ratio R^c is investigated. We conclude that the hadron-level predictions for $R^c(x \to 0, Q^2)$ are stable not only under the NLO corrections without having knowledge about the gluon distribution behavior but also under the Laplace transform technique in the limit of the geometrical scaling in charm production. In addition, the ratio R^c could be a probe of the charm density in the proton at $x \leq 0.01$ and at high $Q^2 >> m_c^2$. In conclusion, we have tried to determine the ratio of the charm structure functions using a Laplace transform technique for the geometrical scaling in charm production in deep inelastic scattering for small x. Our result is model independent for the gluon distribution behavior, and it is dependent on the running coupling constant for the NLO analysis and the renormalization scales. Our results show that the ratio of the charm structure functions is valid up to $x \leq 0.01$, and this is well domain of geometrical scaling within the saturation models. We suggest that this method is useful for the extraction of F_2^c from the corresponding reduced cross section because it is insensitive to the gluon behavior in the QCD input parameters.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank P.Ha and T.Stebel for their helpful comments and useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. D.H.Perkins,Introduction to High Energy Physics,(University of Oxford,Oxford, England,1982),ADDISON-WESLEY PUBLISHING ; Francis Halzen and Alan D.Martin,Quarks and Leptons,(JOHN WILEY & SONS,1984).
2. K.Lipka, Pos(EPS-HEP),313(2009).
3. C. Adloff et al. [H1 Collaboration], Z. Phys. C**72**, 593(1996); J. Breitweg et al. [ZEUS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B**407**, 402(1997); C. Adloff et al. [H1 Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B**528**, 199(2002); S. Aid et. al., [H1 Collaboration], Z. Phys. C**72**, 539(1996); J. Breitweg et. al., [ZEUS Collaboration], Eur. Phys. J. C**12**, 35(2000); S. Chekanov et. al., [ZEUS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D**69**, 012004(2004); Aktas et al. [H1 Collaboration], Eur. Phys.J. C**45**, 23(2006); F.D. Aaron et al. [H1 Collaboration],Eur.Phys.J.C**65**, 89(2010).
4. M. Gluck, E. Reya, M. Stratmann. Nucl. Phys.B**422**, 37(1994); J.Blumlein, et al., Nucl. Phys.B**755**, 272(2006).
5. M. A. G. Aivazis et al. Phys.Rev.D**50**, 3102(1994).
6. A.L.Ayala Filho, M.B. Gay Ducati and Victor P.Goncalves, Phys.Rev.D**59**, 054010(1999).
7. N.Ya.Ivanov, Nucl.Phys.B**814** , 142 (2009); N.Ya.Ivanov and B.A.Kinehl, Eur.Phys.J.C**59**, 647(2009); arXiv:hep-ph/1212.3785, (2012); arXiv:hep-ph/1212.3783, (2012).
8. A. Y. Illarionov,B. A. Kniehl and A. V. Kotikov, Phys.Lett. B **663**, 66 (2008); A.V.Kotikov, et.al., Eur.Phys.J.C**26**, 51(2002).
9. I.P.Ivanov and N.Nikolaev,Phys.Rev.D**65**,054004(2002);
10. A. M. Stasto, K. Golec-Biernat, and J. Kwiecinski Phys.Rev. Lett.**8**6, 596(2001); K. Golec-Biernat, J.Phys.G**2**8, 1057(2002); K. Golec-Biernat, Acta Phys.Pol.B**3**5, 3103(2004).
11. E.Avsar and G.Gustafson, JHEP**0**704, 067(2007).
12. G.Beuf, C.Royon and D.Salek, arXiv:0810.5082(2008); C.Royon and R.Peschanski; PoS DIS 2010, 282(2010)(arXiv:hep-ph/1008.0261).
13. T.Stebel, arXiv:hep-ph/1305.2583(2013); M.Praszalowicz and T.Stebel, JHEP **0**3, 090(2013); T. Stebel, Master Thesis, arXiv:hep-ph/1210.1567(2012); M.Praszalowicz, arXiv:hep-ph/1304.1867(2013)
14.M.M.Block, L.Durand, D.W.McKay, Phys.Rev.D**77**, 094003(2008).
15.M.M.Block, L.Durand, D.W.McKay, Phys.Rev.D**79**, 014031(2009).
16.M.M.Block, Eur.Phys.J.C**65**, 1(2010).
17.M.M.Block, L.Durand, Phuoc Ha, D.W.McKay, Phys.Rev.D**83**, 054009(2011).
18. Yu. L.Dokshitzer, Sov.Phys.JETPG **6**, 641(1977); G.Altarelli and G.Parisi, Nucl.Phys.B**126**, 298(1997); V.N.Gribov and L.N.Lipatov, Sov.J.Nucl.Phys.**28**, 822(1978).
19. M.Gluk, E.Reya and A.Vogt, Z.Phys.C**67**, 433(1995); Eur.Phys.J.C**5**, 461(1998).
20. S. Catani, M. Ciafaloni and F. Hautmann, Preprint CERN-Th.6398/92, in Proceeding of the Workshop on Physics at HERA (Hamburg, 1991), Vol. 2., p. 690; S. Catani and F. Hautmann, Nucl. Phys. B **427**, 475(1994); S. Riemersma, J. Smith and W. L. van Neerven, Phys. Lett. B **347**, 143(1995).
21. K. Golec-Biernat and M.Wusthoff, Phys.Rev.D**5**9, 014017(1999).
22. M.B.Gay Ducati, M.N.Machado and M.V.T.Machado, Brazilian J.Phys,**3**8, 487(2008); V.P.Goncalves and M.V.T.Machado, Phys.Rev.Lett.**9**1, 202002(2003).
23. A. V. Kotikov, A. V. Lipatov, G. Parente and N. P. Zotov Eur. Phys. J. C **26**, 51 (2002).
24. Carlo Ewerz, et.al., arXiv:hep-ph/1201.6296(2012).
25. G.R.Boroun, B.Rezaei, JETP,Vol.115, No.7, PP.427 (2012); Nucl.Phys.B**857**, 143(2012); Eur.Phys.J.C**72**, 2221 (2012); EPL**100**,41001(2012).



FIG. 1: The ratio $R^c = F_L^c/F_2^c$ as a function of x for different values of Q^2 in NLO analysis.



FIG. 2: The ratio $R^c = F_L^c/F_2^c$ as a function of Q^2 for $x \leq 0.01$. Plotted are the NLO predictions at the renormalization scales $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2$ and $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$.



FIG. 3: The same result as in Fig. 2 at the renormalization scale $\mu^2 = 4m_c^2 + Q^2$ compared to the Gauss hypergeometric function (Eq.31) [7]. The solid curve is the asymptotic ratio at $\delta = 0$, and the dot-point curve is at $\delta = 0.5$ [7].